Congrès AFSP Toulouse 2007

Atelier 10
"Les parlementaires : pratiques de représentation
et pratiques d'assemblée".

ALMEIDA, Maria Antónia Pires de (CIES, ISCTE, Lisbonne)
ma.almeida@iscte.pt

Parties and politicians in Portugal: local councillors and members of the Portuguese and European Parliaments[1].

Abstract:

Since the Portuguese revolution of April 25th, 1974, and the beginning of the democratic regime, political parties dominate the electoral process, both on central government and on the municipalities. The analysis of the political elites, their party filiations and recruitment and their social and professional backgrounds has occupied the authors for the last years: André Freire has studied members of parliament; Maria Antónia Pires de Almeida has done the same with mayors and governors. Other Portuguese social scientists, such as Marina Costa Lobo, António Costa Pinto and Pedro Tavares de Almeida and the American Nancy Bermeo have described ministers and presidents in the South of Europe. With this paper, the authors propose to establish the relationship between these two levels of government, national and local (and also supra-national, with an analysis of the Portuguese members of the European Parliament), and access the importance of political parties in each of them. Many mayors' political careers include vertical mobility, both upwards and downwards: from mayors to members of parliament to members of the European Parliament and ministers or even Prime-Minister and President of the Republic (in the case of two mayors of Lisbon), or from ministers and members of parliament to mayors. In all of these cases, their party and their position within the party has played a central role, even when some individuals have pursued other party choices in order to get re-elected, or even have presented independent candidacies (only possible since 1997).

All these factors shall be subject to analysis and comment, with figures and statistics, in order to compare local and central governments in Portugal, the role the parties in each of them and the phenomena of independent candidacies.

Key words: Mayors, members of parliament, parties, independent candidacies.

Index:

Introduction:

Since the Portuguese revolution of April 25th, 1974, and the beginning of the democratic regime, political parties dominate the electoral process, both on central government and on the municipalities. The analysis of the political elites, their party filiations and recruitment and their social backgrounds has occupied Portuguese social scientists for the last years: André Freire has studied members of parliament; Maria Antónia Pires de Almeida has done the same with mayors and governors; Marina Costa Lobo, António Costa Pinto and Pedro Tavares de Almeida have analysed ministers and presidents in the South of Europe. With this paper, the author proposes to establish the relationship between these two levels of government, national and local (and also supra-national, with an analysis of the Portuguese members of the European Parliament), and access the importance of political parties in each of them. Many mayors' political careers include vertical mobility, both upwards and downwards: from mayors to members of parliament to members of the European Parliament and ministers or even President of the Republic and Prime-Minister (in the case of two mayors of Lisbon: Jorge Sampaio and Pedro Santana Lopes), or from ministers and members of parliament to mayors. In all of these cases, their party and their positions within the party have played a central role, even when some individuals have pursued other party choices in order to get re-elected, or even have presented independent candidacies.

All these factors are subject to analysis and comment, in order to compare local and central governments in Portugal, the role the parties in each of them and the phenomena of independent candidacies. In order to achieve our goal, we have posed the following research questions:

> 1. Are political parties equally prevalent across all levels of representative institutions in Portugal, as it happens across Europe, from national government to the smallest municipality?
> 2. Is there personal mobility between different levels of government in Portugal? What is the importance of a previous political career on a different level in order to get elected locally or centrally?
> 3. What is the impact political parties have within different levels of government in Portugal? Which are the roles, responsibilities, power and impact of party politics within local democracy, in a comparative perspective with central government?

These are important issues, not only because they haven't yet been approached by the Portuguese scientific community, but especially because in Portugal, for the last thirty years, political parties have monopolised political representation on the different levels of government. Yet, in the last decade, the competition among parties has changed in Portugal, with the introduction of new ones that have questioned the way the two major parties, which have ruled our country for the entire democratic period, function and exercise their power when in government. Also, with the 1997 constitutional change, which allowed independent candidacies in local government, a new phenomenon of elected mayors and councillors that run outside the party system is arising, since the 2001 election, and asserting a challenge to the usual rules of party politics in Portugal.

In order to answer these questions, we shall use the method of a case study, centred in Portugal, and establish the relationship between different levels of government. We shall examine the relationships between the various layers of political parties; the impact of political party activity on sub-national representative institutions; and the special position of independent lists and citizen's groups in local government. In Portugal, as in most European countries, parties are responsible for political recruitment, providing political experience in organising and campaigning, selecting candidates and contesting elections at all levels, and

for delivering the party's policies in local government. With the introduction of local lists, did parties have to change their behaviour and adapt to this new reality?

Independent candidacies are extremely important to access the value and presence of political parties within the system. One of our main hypotheses is that the higher the place within the political hierarchy, the most important is the role of the parties and the less important is the presence of independent candidates. The second one is about mobility: a previous career in local politics is important to being elected to central government. Going up the hierarchy of power does matter, but going the other way around is also quite acceptable and is actually beginning to be desirable, as is a technical and professional background that is turning politicians into professionals of the political business and technocrats (Weber, 1959; Guérin, Kerrouche, 2006; Cruz, 1988; Freire, 2001). The percentage of independents which are invited into governments, without a previous political career, is an important indicator of this phenomenon.

To test these hypotheses, there is the need to describe the Portuguese political system, its rules and regulations, and to analyse the Constitution (chapter 1). And then, on chapter 2 we analyse the different levels of government, recruitment criteria and the presence of independents. On chapter 3 we follow political careers in several levels of the Portuguese government, from central to local and vice-versa and try to assert the importance of mobility between levels of government and the impact of a previous political career in order to get elected.

Chapter 1: Historical background and legal framework

Portugal has been living in Democracy for the last thirty one years. After the revolution of April 25th 1974, and a two year transition period, democratic institutions have begun to function with some regularity, towards a multi-party system. There have been four major parties in Portugal since 1974/1975: the Socialist Party (PS), the Social Democrat Party (PSD), the Portuguese Communist Party (PCP) and the Popular Party (former CDS – Social Democrat Centre, now CDS-PP), on the right wing (see analysis of statutes in Lobo, 2003: 253-261). The two major parties, the Socialist Party (PS – centre left) and the Social Democrat Party (PSD – centre right), usually alternate in the control of central government, sometimes in coalition to other parties. This dialectic democracy, with a parliamentary, two-party majoritarian system, characterizes most democracies nowadays.

This is how the electoral process works: the first democratic Constitution, approved on April 1976, established a representative democracy, mediated by political parties, which definitely monopolise political representation on the different levels of government (except for the presidency). The President of the Republic has representative functions for the state and he/she is a warrantee of national independence and unity and of the regular functioning of democratic institutions. He/she is also the commander in chief of the armed forces. He/she is elected under a two round majority run-off system, by direct, universal and secret suffrage on a personal candidacy, on proposal by a list of a minimum 7.500 signatures.

Both on parliament and municipalities there is a closed lists system of proportional representation: candidacies are presented by political parties, alone or in coalition and may contain citizens that are not members of political parties[2]. Since 1976, non-partisan citizen's groups could only run at the lowest level of local government: the civil parishes, called *freguesias*. It is only since the 1997 revision of the Constitution that non-partisan lists may present candidacies for municipalities. Therefore, the 2001 local election was the first one to

---

[2] Independent candidacies for parliament and municipalities have been allowed since 1976, but only within party lists, articles 151st and 239th of the Portuguese Constitution.

elect mayors and councillors who were not included in party lists. But parties still monopolize the process in the parliament: lists of independents and citizen's groups are not allowed to run.

On national elections, the winning party's leader (or the leader of a coalition) is invited by the President of the Republic to form a new government, with executive functions, composed of a Prime-Minister, Ministers, Secretaries of State and Under-Secretaries of State (for a full description of the Portuguese political and electoral systems, see: Lobo, 2001; Freire and Lobo, 2002; Lobo, 2006). The selection process of ministers is personalized: they are appointed by the President, upon proposal of the Prime-Minister (article 187th) and there are no specific party rules as to who is supposed to be chosen. Therefore, they may either be members of the party or independents and are usually chosen for their professional area of specialization.

It is within the parties that political representatives are chosen, both central and locally: each party has a convention that elects a leader and each one proposes a closed list of candidates to the parliament (both national and European) or the municipalities. This is a rather centralized and informal process: the intervention of the bases of each party is possible mostly in an indirect way through delegates at the party convention. Lists of candidates depend heavily on national party leadership, which definitely controls political careers and representative jobs. Therefore, in Portugal, the level of democracy within political parties is rather more limited than the one that can be found in most democracies of its geo-cultural area (Freire, 2001: 147-149).

Party selection of its representatives varies according to internal statutes, which also distinguishes party roles within the system. Presently, the Socialist Party has an advantage in Parliament, which allowed it to form a government with Prime-Minister José Sócrates, party leader since the September 2004 convention. Its 121 members of parliament, elected in February 20th 2005, were chosen in a centralized process, according to the party's statutes (Freire, 2001: 199)[3]. Its internal rules specify that the secretary-general of the party is elected on the convention by direct vote of all members. Then, there is a national comity that defines the political orientation of the party and is responsible for choosing the lists of candidates. Thirty per cent of the names and ranks in the lists are chosen from top to bottom. For example, in the 1999 election, the party's leader chose the first 23 names in the lists[4]. Afterwards, in each district, local members of the party may choose the rest of the candidates, knowing that their candidates are placed on secondary positions, after the national party elites. These lists are subject to approval by the national comity.

On the Social Democrat Party, the whole process is more decentralized. There is also a convention that elects national bodies, but lists of candidates are chosen by local comities, and then voted by district comities. Afterwards the lists are sent to the national comity, which may change them or approve them[5].

The Communist Party (on the left) and the Popular Party (on the right) have the most centralized selection processes of their members of parliament. The Portuguese Communist Party is the oldest left party in Portugal. It was funded in 1921 and it has survived clandestinely throughout the entire totalitarian regime[6]. Álvaro Cunhal was its historical leader and he is one of the most important characters in twentieth century Portuguese History (Pereira, 1999-2005). This party maintains its old structure (Freire, 2001: 198)[7]. Particularly, it maintains its ideology, even after the fall of the soviet regimes in Easter Europe, which

---

[3] See also http://ps.pt/main.php.
[4] *Expresso*, 2/2/2002, p. 1.
[5] http://www.psd.pt/
[6] See full history in www.pcp.pt.
[7] See also http://www.pcp.pt/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=12&Itemid=122.

made it loose a considerable amount of voters and members of parliament. It is such a totalitarian party that it ordered an elected mayor to resign because he disobeyed an order from the central comity. And he did resign. The PCP has a central comity elected by a convention. This comity chooses all the other political bodies, runs all political activities, orients all elected representatives and chooses candidate's lists.

The Popular Party is similar to the Social Democrat Party in its statutes, but its reduced size makes it put a lot more weight on the selection and political importance of its members of parliament[8].

In 1999 a new party was created: the Left Block, which mobilized old communist voters and many other groups from across the political spectrum, such as the younger generations and the urban middle class, some of them a part of the Socialist Party electoral basis. This party ran for parliament for the first time in 1999 and obtained immediately a 2,44 per cent result. Its statutes were approved in 2000[9] and it has run for central and local elections since then. In 2005, the Left Block elected 8 members of parliament (6,35 per cent), 4 men and 4 women (which makes it the most equalitarian party, with a 50 per cent quota of men and women). They were all elected in Portugal's largest cities' electoral circles: Lisbon, Oporto and Setúbal. This reflects a rather urban character of this party. In local power, this party has only won elections in one municipality: it has elected a woman mayor, who had previously been elected by the Communist Party.

It is also important to define and understand the Portuguese local government political organization:

The representative bodies of the municipalities are elected separately but simultaneously by resident citizens on a same election day, for a four year mandate: the municipal assembly is the deliberative body, composed of the elected representatives of the citizens and the chairmen of the executive bodies of the various parishes; the municipal executive, the executive body, is normally comprised of between five and eleven members (except for Lisbon, where there are 17, and Oporto, 13 *vereadores*, councillors or aldermen), one of them being the president, who is a powerful mayor. Each voter has one vote for each body. Lists may be presented by political parties (which may include independent candidates), by political parties' coalitions and (since 2001) by voters associations. The winner list elects the mayor and the number of councillors for each party is proportional to the electoral results.

This system of local government has its origins in the fact that, in 1976, Portugal lived a period of party dissemination through society, after a 48 year absence during the authoritarian regime. In order to build their local structures, parties needed a social and political anchor in local communities. The compulsory list system and the proportional number of councillors was a way to give all parties access to local government, regardless of size and majorities, and to introduce them into the lives of citizens and their habits of political representation, as references of the multi-party democratic system. In sum, the introduction of parties in local government was a school for democratic learning, both for voters and for the parties themselves[10]. Right from the beginning of the Portuguese transition to Democracy, citizens

---

[8] http://www.partido-popular.pt/

[9] http://bloco.esquerda.net/media/EstatutosIVConv.pdf.

[10] "It was considered, in 1976, that, with the young multi-party system, there was an advantage for democracy and for local government itself to introduce and consolidate political parties locally, as references of the democratic system", MP Artur Torres Pereira (PSD), Parliament's journal (Diário da Assembleia da República), July 30th 1997, 3915-3925. Available at http://debates.parlamento.pt/?pid=r3.

became very much politicised, and clearly defined their positions within the political supply[11]. And parties were the only way they had to express themselves.

For a long time, a local government representative could also be, at the same time, a Member of Parliament. In fact, 5 per cent of all mayors since 1976 have accumulated those two elected offices, as well as 0,2 per cent of them were Members of the European Parliament. But, since 2001, this is no longer possible and elected Members of Parliament and local councillors now have to choose one of the jobs and resign the other.

Chapter 2: The role of political parties on the different levels of government

The Portuguese political system and the legal framework it works upon shows us that political parties dominate the electoral process, except for the presidential election, which is personalized. But, in order to understand how far parties do control every level of government, we shall evaluate party performance in each of them. Independent elected representatives are a very good factor to test the initial hypothesis that the higher the place within the political hierarchy, the most important is the role of the parties and the less important is the presence of independent candidates.

Starting out with the President of the Republic: even though in Portugal, during the democratic period, all candidates have run as individuals, separated from political parties, the last three presidents, since 1986, had all previously been presidents of their respective parties. And, without any doubt, they all had the support of their parties and traditional coalition partners in order to get elected.

2.1 – National Government.

As far as government goes, the prime-ministers are always the leaders of their parties or the leaders of the largest party in a coalition. Ministers are a different matter. Marina Costa Lobo has studied independent members of government in order to assert the level of freedom or party autonomy a Portuguese prime-minister has in his staff's selection. Throughout the entire democratic period, there were always around 30 per cent independent members of government, mostly in key ministries. The Socialist Party has been the one with the largest number of independents in its governments. For example, from 1999 to 2002, António Guterres, socialist prime-minister of the 14th Constitutional Government, appointed 5 independent ministers (28 per cent) and 4 Secretaries of State (9 per cent). The five ministers were all in key areas, such as Health, Education, Plan, Science and Technology and Defence. After a few replacements in this government, there were six independent ministers (36,6 per cent): Interior, Economy, Health, Education, Culture and Science and Technology. Costa Lobo points out a few reasons for independent appointments in governments: to diminish political tension among coalition partners; an electoral strategy which attempts to open up governments and parties to civil society; and a way for parties to overcome the problem of a weak social insertion. For the author, "by recognizing that their representative function is diminutive, governments attract independents as an attempt to get closer to voters" (Lobo, 2003: 267-268). Therefore, these candidates are selected for personal reasons which are related to professional careers and recognition of values by the civil society. Specialization and professional skills have become major assets for ministerial selection. Universities, rather then former regime's prisons or exile (as it was during the revolutionary period), became the

---

[11] This is the contrary of what has happened with Eastern European countries' transition in the nineties, where political definition has not yet been achieved and political parties are having a hard time establishing themselves and conquering majorities.

main breading ground for political elites. They can either have been placed in the lists of candidates for Members of Parliament, or not.

2.2 – Parliament.

Very much like governments, the Portuguese parliament reflects the same evolution in its political elites. The first elected members were mostly university graduates, but this group was under sixty per cent. Afterwards, more than eighty per cent are graduates and post-graduates. There are considerable differences among parties: for example, in 1975 over eighty per cent of the Communist Party's members of parliament had only high school. And right wing members of parliament were usually higher educated than the ones on the left wing. But nowadays the parties are very similar regarding educational profiles of its elected members. The dominant field of studies is Law. In 2005, 31 per cent of all members of parliament were lawyers, followed, in a long distance, by teachers (Tavares, 2006: 91). There has been a strong approach of the profiles of left wing parties' members of parliament to the so called bourgeois parties, just as it happened to the European left wing parties after World War II (Freire, 2001: 69). Regarding age, the early members of parliament were younger than nowadays. The average rose from 42 to 45 years old (by the time they were elected). Their main professional careers are in Law, followed by managers and teachers. There were also quite a few farm and industry workers during the first years of democracy, most of them within the Communist Party, but those professions were almost totally eliminated from parliament (Freire, 2001: 77).

This elitist profile is determined by the parties' selection process: for their candidates, leaders choose preferably male, middle-aged individuals, with large educational and professional resources. Equally as important as demand, there is the offer factor: these are the same individuals who are more available to pursue a political career, than others (Freire, 2001: 151).

Concerning independent members of parliament, there was a research problem: there is no mention of independent elected representatives in party lists, neither in the published official election results. Anyway, they do exist and they are an important renewal factor for parties. Our major source for this research was the press, where we analysed the electoral speech of different parties, which showed us that independent candidacies are not so important, and they are usually not even mentioned. When parties present their candidates' lists for parliament, they are not so concerned about individuals, but more about results that should allow them to form a government.

As far as official documents go, we did find the lists of members of parliament who, after being elected within a party list, have requested an independent status. The first legislature, in 1976, was the one with the largest number of members of parliament who have made this request. There were 48 of them who did so and they represent a young democracy and a young party system. Clearly, parties did not yet have enough members to compose their lists, and they accepted people from the outside, who have latter joined their ranks (in most cases). These 48 individuals represent an 18,25 percentage and they were mainly in the Social Democrat Party's lists: 77,1 per cent. There were also 18,8 per cent with the Socialist Party and 4,2 per cent with the former CDS (now Popular Party). It was easier for the Communist Party to recruit its members, for it had a long history of clandestine existence, therefore it had no need to accept independent members into its ranks.

After this fist legislature, only 27 more members of parliament have requested this status since 1979 until 2005. Clearly there was a different approach to this phenomenon: independent candidates have begun making private deals with parties and the ones that do request this official independent status are individuals that are usually upset with party

orientation, or are about to change parties. Therefore, they do not represent a clear party strategy, but a party dissention, and cannot be considered for this study.

Regarding the 2002 elections[12], only the two major parties, PS and PSD, could "afford the luxury" of independent candidates in eligible places[13]. There were 19 independents who were elected members of parliament, representing 8,3 per cent of a 230 total. Eleven of them were in the Socialist Party list, and they represent 11,5 per cent of this party's 96 elected members or parliament; eight of them were in the Social Democrat's list, where they had a 7,6 percentage (on a 105 total). In 2005, the number of independent MPs went down to 11, which lowered the percentage to 4,8.

We had the same research problem with the Portuguese members of the European Parliament: official lists only mention name and identification of the party's candidates. There is no mention of independents. Therefore we shall also have to look for them in the press and present results later. For now we can say, for instance, that the Socialist Party has elected 12 members to the European Parliament and only one of them is an independent (representing 8,3 per cent). Anyway, this Member of Parliament is a woman with a political career within the Socialist Party ranks, which includes being twice a minister in Guterres' governments and following all the party's programs and politics. So there is not much to distinguish her from the rest of her peers.

2.3 – Local Government.

It is in local government that we have the most complete data to work on: the 2001 election, which introduced independent lists and citizen's groups in municipalities. In order to verify how the party system really works locally, we can compare two different levels of hierarchy: elected councillors within the municipalities and their hierarchy in the lists of candidates and in the councils themselves (there is a higher percentage of independent councillors than there is of independent mayors), and we can compare municipalities and civil parishes (there are more independent elected individuals in the parishes than in the municipalities).

Regarding civil parishes, the performance of citizen's groups in this lower level of local government was 7,3 per cent (Martins, 2003: 18). As for municipalities, there were 30 citizen's group lists candidacies and only 5 of them won local elections (3 citizen's groups and 2 independent candidacies). On 308 municipalities, this is a 1,62 per cent result. It is confirmed a really low performance of citizen's groups in local elections; it is also possible to verify a larger presence in the parishes than in the municipalities and a probable lesser investment of parties in the lower levels of local government.

Table I: Independent Mayors in Portugal (2001).

Party list	Number	Percentage
Socialist Party Lists	7	33,3
Social Democrat Party Lists	9	42,9
Independent Lists	2	9,5
Citizen's Groups	3	14,3
Total	21	100,0

---

[12] The closest ones to the 2001 local elections.

[13] Small parties like the PCP or the PP have few places in parliament, and they are all taken by members of the parties, *O Independente*, 1/2/2002, p. 9.

Also, in the lists of candidates there is a hierarchy which is revealed in these results: of 137 independent councillors elected, there were only 16 (9 with the PSD and 7 with the PS) that were elected president, which means they were heads of party lists. There were two more, both heads of Social Democrat Party's lists, who were candidates in municipalities were the Socialist Party won. Therefore they were in the 3rd and 4th place in their respective councils' hierarchies. As a result, on 308 municipalities, only the two major parties invested in independents as party list heads and in only 5,8 per cent of the municipalities. The other 119 councillors (86,9 per cent) were placed in secondary positions in party lists and assumed the least important places in the councils' hierarchies, usually the ones without functions or salary. Anyway, these elected officers have an important job: because they are not subject to party discipline, many times their job is to denounce irregularities, insert debate, in sum, to introduce an inspection role to party actions, by publicising and exposing all the council's actions to the media. Their function, by doing so, is to make all councillors accountable for their actions. This is an element to revitalize democracy and avoid (as good as possible) local vices and corruption (Phillips 1996: 20), with a big help from the press and the judicial system.

These candidates were elected mostly in small municipalities: only one won in a city that is head of a district, and a rather unpopulated, rural one. As for the 21 mayors that were elected as independents and leaders of citizen's groups, they represent 6,8 per cent of all mayors and they are distributed as seen on table I. A general characteristic of citizen's groups in Portugal is that they are usually created against the party system: their candidates are mostly party dissidents, due to discontent and also, in quite a few situations, due to expulsion from the party ranks.

Chapter 3: Political careers and mobility

This question of mobility between levels of government is a growing factor in Portuguese politics. There is an increasing number of Members of Parliament who have had experience in local councils. In fact, "local councillor and parliamentary experience are the two main factors of political professionalization of Portuguese members of parliament" and, from 1987 on, over fifty per cent of them have had that experience, which is similar to the European average (Freire, 2001: 115-118). But the other way around is also a reality, because 12,3 per cent of all Portuguese mayors since 1976 have had experience as Members of the Portuguese Parliament, and 1,1 per cent have been Members of the European Parliament, both before, during and after their job as presidents of local councils. We can talk about a nationalization of local politics and a localization of national politicians.

There have been 1.170 elected mayors from 1976 to 2005. Their job had an average 8 years length. 1.026 Of them were not Members of Parliament (87,7 per cent), neither the Portuguese, nor the European. The other 144 Mayors were Members of Parliament[14], and these are the ones we shall describe: 36,9 per cent of them were MP before being a mayor, 29,5 per cent after, and 33,5 per cent during (until the 2001 law change). In fact, in the 1999 national election, there were 23 mayors in the lists of candidates for parliament, and they were placed there for their popularity and capacity to attract votes locally[15].

Since the Portuguese integration in the European Economic Community in 1986 (now European Union), there have been 87 individuals elected Members of the European Parliament. Twelve of them (13,8 per cent) were mayors during the democratic period: 5 of them were mayors before going to Brussels; another 5 were mayors after, and 2 of them were

---

[14] There were also 8 MP that were mayors before 1974, therefore they have made a regime transition.
[15] *O Independente*, 6/8/1999, p. 15.

mayors in between two mandates in the European Parliament. One was mayor before 1974, and there was another one who was a president of an administrative commission during the revolutionary period of 1974-1976. On tables IV and V we can watch the chronological evolution of this factor: on the first two local elections, only about 11 per cent mayors were also members of parliament. During the eighties, their numbers rose, and on the 1993 election there was the highest percentage of mayors with a parliamentary career. With the 2001 law which forbade the possibility to accumulate these two jobs, there were choices to be made and many mayors chose to remain in their local offices, resigning their posts in Parliament. Anyway, many politicians, both members of parliament and members of the government, still accumulate functions as members and presidents of several municipal assemblies.

Anyway we can assert that it is not only the upwards hierarchy path that is revealed in these numbers: more Members of the Parliament go on to be elected mayor than the other way around. In the European Parliament, these two factors have the same weight. Undoubtedly, early political experience counts in order to be elected in all levels of government and these people simply follow a path which is the most convenient at the time. Especially when there is a change in government and a few Members of Parliament, Ministers and Secretaries of State loose their jobs. Then, their party shall put them in the best possible list in order to get them elected, either upwards or downwards, usually waiting for the next election and the possibility of being called again for government. There is also mobility between these elected offices and political jobs in public companies. A career in politics also includes, in many cases, the presidency of the electric company (EDP) or national television (RTP), for instance, or a football club (Kopecky, Mair, 2006).

Table II: Mayors that were also Members of the Portuguese Parliament.

Election Date	Number of Mayors	Percentage of Mayors in the Municipalities
1976	33	10,9
1979	34	11,1
1982	43	14,1
1985	51	16,7
1989	60	19,7
1993	68	22,3
1997	59	19,3
2001	53	17,2
2005	41	13,3

Table III: Mayors that were also Members of the European Parliament.

Election Date	Number of Mayors	Percentage of Mayors in the Municipalities
1987	3	1,0
1989	4	1,3
1994	4	1,3
1999	2	0,6
2004	2	0,6

These results confirm the words of Armando Pereira:
"the local government system is indeed one of the most successful achievements of Portugal's young democracy. (…) there is a clear attachment of the population to municipal services and activities and an increasing responsiveness of political representatives to public accountability. Evidence for the accepted relevance of local government is provided by the fact that national politicians also run as candidates in municipal elections" (Pereira, 1991: 140).

Conclusions:

In response to this paper's questions about the presence of political parties in each and all levels of government, and their impact and performance in elections, regarding the role of independents, there are some results, which may be resumed as follows:
Yes, in Portugal political parties have indeed monopolised political competition and representation on the different levels of government. Lists of candidates and political careers definitely depend on party leaders. And parties do obtain majorities in all elections: the results of independent lists are quite diminutive. But, as far as elected offices go, our main hypothesis, that the higher the place within the political hierarchy, the most important is the role of the parties and the less important is the presence of independent candidates, it is confirmed only in local government, were the presence of independents is higher in the civil parishes than in the municipalities: 7,3 versus 6,8 per cent. When we compare parliament with municipalities, our hypothesis is denied, for, in the same chronological period, there were proportionally more independent Members of Parliament than Mayors: 8,3 versus 6,8 per cent. And if we add appointed offices to this equation (members of the government, which are selected by appointment, not election), the difference is still higher: a 30 per cent average of ministers is independent. Therefore, the higher the place within the Portuguese political hierarchy, the less important is the role of the parties and the higher the presence of independents.

Table IV: Percentage of Independents on different levels of government (2001-2002).

National Government	30,0
Parliament	8,3
Municipalities	6,8
Civil Parishes	7,3

The 2005 elections confirmed this trend: the percentage of independently elected mayors dropped to 2,6 (7 on citizen's lists and one as an independent on the Social Democrats' list, in Lisbon), but independent members of parliament also dropped to 4,8 per cent. Therefore, their places on the table remain the same.
 Regardless of these results, the Portuguese party system is still very strong in the Portuguese central and local governments. The 2001 local election has challenged this reality, but in a very limited way. Parties are a basic element of representative democracy and the main vehicle through which political representation is secured. This is still a correct description of the Portuguese local political system, since other forms of political representation are still at a very early stage and have very low levels of performance at elections and impact in the political system as a whole. Regarding a possible anti-party mood that could have developed at the sub-national level, the facts do not indicate that this is in fact happening, because the

reasons for independent candidacies seem to be much more personalized than real civic movements away from party interests.

Did those citizen's lists reveal a decline of party politics (Mair, 1997; Mair, Biezen, 2001; Mair, 2003), combined with the increasing disenchantment and alienation with the established national parties? No, these cases are rare and do not mean a distance from the party system, but only an occasional distance for some of its members, without continuity in the following elections, because most of them returned to their early parties. And their motives for participation in citizen's groups are too personal to be considered disenchantment and alienation with the established national parties. Therefore, in general, Portuguese parties were not affected by the introduction of these new candidacies, and they did not have to adapt or change their behaviour.

Regarding the types of municipalities which have elected councillors from independent and citizen's groups' lists: these lists have succeeded only on small rural areas, of very low economic, social and political relevance on the national panorama. This leads us to the conclusion that the party system is hierarchy sensitive, both on the geographical and social levels.

Anyway, there is hope that these groups shall evolve into something more permanent and with a larger political visibility. Citizen's groups are political agents which may revitalize representative democracies and pave the way to a more participatory democracy. They should promote the political development of the people and improve the quality of democracy, as well as they contribute to increase voter's participation (Martins, 2003: 40, 83). We shall have to analyse a few more elections in order to access the evolution of this new phenomenon in Portuguese local politics. But also, Portuguese citizens shall have to take a different approach to local politics in order to introduce a real difference in the established party system.

As far as careers go, these political elites are becoming professional politicians and the transition between levels of government does not respect the usual upwards hierarchy. Also, professional skills are becoming increasingly important, especially with the growing complexities of local government, so the early revolutionaries no longer have a place in a political system that needs technocrats and specialists.

References:

Almeida, Jorge Manuel B. Pedroso de (1991): "Portugal: Overcoming the Central-Local Government Dichotomy", *in* Joachim Jens Hesse (ed.) – *Local Government and Urban Affairs in International Perspective. Analyses of Twenty Western Industrialised Countries*, Baden-Baden, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, 497-515.

Almeida, Maria Antónia Pires de (2003): "As elites municipais e a revolução: Portalegre 1941-1997", *in* António Costa Pinto e André Freire (orgs.) – *Elites, Sociedade e Mudança Política*, Oeiras, Celta Editora, 9-42.

Almeida, Maria Antónia Pires de (2005): "Fontes e metodologia para o estudo das elites locais em Portugal", e-Working Paper nº 7/2005, Lisboa, CIES, ISCTE, www.cies.iscte.pt/documents/CIES-WP7.pdf, electronic resource.

Almeida, Maria Antónia Pires de (2006a): *A Revolução no Alentejo. Memória e Trauma da Reforma Agrária em Avis*, Lisboa, Imprensa de Ciências Sociais.

Almeida, Maria Antónia Pires de (2006b): "Local elites and the Portuguese revolution: recruitment of mayors before and after 1974", *ECPR – European Consortium for Political Research*, Joint Sessions, Workshop 3: Henry Back, Kristof Styvers (dirs.): "Local Political

Leadership in a Changing Environment", Intercollege, Nicosia, http://www.essex.ac.uk/ECPR/events/jointsessions/paperarchive/nicosia/ws3/Pires%20de%20Almeida.pdf, electronic resource.

Almeida, Pedro Tavares de; Pinto, António Costa (2003): *Portuguese Ministers, 1851-1999. Social background and paths to power*, Harvard University.

Almeida, Pedro Tavares de; Pinto, António Costa; Bermeo, Nancy Gina (eds.) (2003): *Who Governs Southern Europe? Regime Change and Ministerial Recruitment, 1850-2000*, London, Frank Cass.

Applebaum, S. H.; Auder, L.; Miller, J. C. (2003): "Gender and Leadership? Leadership and Gender? A Journey Through the Landscape of Theories", *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, vol. 24, n. 1, 43-51.

Bachrach, Peter (1969): *The theory of democratic elitism: a critique*, London, Univ. Press.

Back, Henry; Heinelt, Hubert; Magnier, Annick (eds.) (2006): *The European Mayor. Political Leaders in the Changing Context of Local Democracy*, Urban and Regional Research International, vol. 10, Wiesbaden, VS Verlag fur Sozialwissenschaften.

Bartolini, S. *et all.* (1986): *Manual de ciencia politica*, compil. de Gianfranco Pasquino, Madrid, Alianza Editorial.

Batley, Richard; Stoker, Gerry (eds.) (1991): *Local Government in Europe. Trends and Development*, London, Macmillan.

Baum, Michael A.; Freire, André (2003): "Parties and Territory in Portuguese Politics", *in* Jeanie Bukowski, Simona Piattoni, Marc Smyrl (eds.) – *Between Europeanization and Local Societies. The Space for Territorial Governance*, Lanham, Rowman & Littlefield, 21-46.

Borraz, Olivier; John, Peter (2004): "The transformation of urban political leadership in Western Europe", *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 28, n. 1, 107-120.

Bukowski, Jeanie; Piattoni, Simona; Smyrl, Marc (eds.) (2003): *Between Europeanization and Local Societies. The Space for Territorial Governance*, Lanham, Rowman & Littlefield.

Chilcote, Ronald H. *et all.* (1990): *Transitions from Dictatorship to Democracy. Comparative Studies of Spain, Portugal and Greece*, New York, Taylor & Francis.

Copus, Colin (2006): *Leading the localities. Executive mayors in English local governance*, Manchester University Press.

Cruz, Manuel Braga (1988): "Sobre o Parlamento Português: partidarização parlamentar e parlamentarização partidária", *Análise Social*, vol. XXIV (100), 97-126.

Cruz, Manuel Braga (1998): *Sistema Eleitoral Português: Debate Político e Parlamentar*, Lisboa, INCM.

Cruz, Manuel Braga; Pinto, António Costa (dirs.); Ferreria, Nuno Estêvão (colab.) (2004-2005): *Dicionário Biográfico Parlamentar*, Lisboa, Instituto de Ciências Sociais e Assembleia da República, 2 vols.

Diamandouros, P. Nikiforos (1997): "Southern Europe: A Third Wave Success Story", *in* Larry Diamond and Marc Plattner (eds.) – *Consolidating Third Wave Democracies*, John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Dudek, Carolyn Marie (2005): *EU Accession and Spanish Regional Development. Winners and Losers*, Bruxelles, New York, P.I.E.-Peter Lang.

Freire, André (coord.) (2001): *Recrutamento Parlamentar: os Deputados Portugueses da Constituinte à VIII Legislatura*, Lisboa, STAPE/MAI.

Freire, André (2003): "Recrutamento parlamentar e reforma das instituições", *in* António Costa Pinto e André Freire (orgs.) – *Elites, Sociedade e Mudança Política*, Oeiras, Celta Editora, 181-216.

Freire, André; Lobo, Marina Costa (2002): "The Portuguese 2002 Legislative Elections", *West European Politics*, vol. 25, n. 4, 221-228.

Freire, André (2006): *Esquerda e Direita na Política Europeia – Portugal, Espanha e Grécia em Perspectiva Comparada*, Lisboa, ICS.

Freire, André (2006): "Bringing Social Identities Back In: The Social Anchors of Left-Right Orientation in Western Europe", *International Political Science Review*, 27 (4), 359-378.

Freire, André (2006): "Left-Right Ideological Identities in New Democracies: Greece, Portugal and Spain in the Western European Context", *Pôle Sud. Revue de science politique de l'Europe méridionale*, nº 25, II, 153-173.

Freire, André; Lobo, Marina Costa; Magalhães, Pedro (eds.) (2007): *Portugal at the Polls: in 2002*, Lexington Books.

Freire, André; Lobo, Marina Costa; Magalhães, Pedro (orgs.) (2007): *Comportamento Eleitoral e Atitudes Políticas dos Portugueses: Eleições e Cultura Política*, Lisboa, Imprensa de Ciências Sociais.

Guérin, Elodie ; Kerrouche, Eric (2006): *Les élus locaux en Europe, un statut en mutation*, Paris, La documentation française, Coll. Etudes.

Hesse, Joachim Jens (ed.) (1991): *Local Government and Urban Affairs in International Perspective. Analyses of Twenty Western Industrialised Countries*, Baden-Baden, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft.

Hobe, Stephan (2005): "The Status of Municipalities within the European Union", *German Journal of Urban Studies*, vol. 44, n. 2, www.difu.de/index.shtml?/publikationen/dfk/en/, electronic resource.

Inglehart, Ronald; Norris, Pippa (2003): *Rising Tide. Gender Equality and Cultural Change around the World*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

John, Peter (2001): *Local Governance in Western Europe*, London, Sage Publications.

Karasimeonov, Georgi (ed.) (2005): *Organizational Structures and Internal Party Democracy in South Eastern Europe*, Sofia, GorexPress.

Kopecky, Petr; Mair, Peter (2006): "Political Parties and Patronage in Contemporary Democracies: An Introduction", *ECPR – European Consortium for Political Research*, Joint Sessions, Workshop 6: "Political Parties and Patronage", Intercollege, Nicosia, http://www.essex.ac.uk/ECPR/events/jointsessions/paperarchive/nicosia/ws6/KopeckyMair.pdf, electronic resource.

Lannon, Frances; Preston, Paul (eds.) (1990): *Élites and power in the twentieth-century Spain: essays in honour of Sir Raymond Carr*, Oxford, Clarendon Press.

Leston-Bandeira, Cristina (2002): *Da Legislação à Legitimação: O Papel do Parlamento Português*, Lisboa, Imprensa de Ciências Sociais, 2002.

Leston-Bandeira, Cristina (ed.) (2005): *Southern European parliaments in democracy*, London, Routledge.

Leston-Bandeira, Cristina; Freire, André (2003): "Internalising the lessons of stable democracy: the Portuguese Parliament", *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, Special issue on Southern European Parliaments in Democracy, vol. 9 (2), 56-84.

Lobo, Marina Costa (2001): *The Emergence of a Prime Ministerial Model: Co-ordination in the Portuguese Government, 1976-1995*, Doctoral Thesis, Oxford.

Lobo, Marina Costa (2003): "A elite partidária em Portugal, 1976-2002: dirigentes, deputados e membros do governo", *in* António Costa Pinto e André Freire (orgs.) – *Elites, Sociedade e Mudança Política*, Oeiras, Celta Editora, 249-276.

Lobo, Marina Costa (2006): "Short-term voting determinants in a young democracy: leader effects in Portugal in 2002 legislative elections", *Electoral Studies*, vol. 25, n. 2, Oxford, 270-286.

Lopes, Fernando Farelo; Freire, André (2002): *Partidos Políticos e Sistemas Eleitorais: Uma Introdução*, Oeiras, Celta.

Lopes, Fernando Farelo (2004): *Os partidos políticos: modelos e realidades na Europa Ocidental e em Portugal*, Lisboa, Celta Editora.

Mair, Peter (1997): *Party System Change: Approaches and Interpretations*, Oxford, Claredon Press.

Mair, Peter; Biezen, Ingrid (2001): "Party membership in twenty European democracies", *in Party Politics*, 7, 1, 5-21.

Mair, Peter (2003): "Os partidos políticos e a democracia", *Análise Social*, vol. XXXVIII (167), 277-293.

Martins, Manuel Meirinho (1997): *As eleições autárquicas e o poder dos cidadãos*, pref. João Bettencourt da Câmara, Lisboa, Vega.

Martins, Manuel Meirinho (2003): *Participação Política e Grupos de Cidadãos Eleitores. Um Contributo para o Estudo da Democracia Portuguesa*, Lisboa, ISCPS.

Martins, Manuel Meirinho (2004): *Participação Política e Democracia. O Caso Português 1976-2000*, pref. de João Bettencourt da Câmara, Lisboa, ISCPS.

Martins, Manuel Meirinho; Teixeira, Conceição Pequito (2005): *O funcionamento dos partidos e a participação das mulheres na vida política e partidária em Portugal*, pref. de Maria Amélia Paiva, Lisboa, Comissão para a Igualdade e para os Direitos das Mulheres.

Morlino, Leonardo (1986): "Las Democracias", *in* S. Bartolini *et all. – Manual de ciencia política*, compil. de Gianfranco Pasquino, Madrid, Alianza Editorial, 79-128.

Mouritzen, Poul Erik; Svara, James H. (2002): *Leadership at the apex: politicians and administrators in Western local governments*, Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh Press.

Oliveira, César (dir.) (1996): *História dos Municípios e do Poder Local (dos finais da Idade Média à União Europeia)*, Lisboa, Círculo de Leitores.

Opello, Jr., Walter C. (1981): "Local government and political culture in a Portuguese rural county", *Comparative Politics*, vol. 13, n. 3.

Opello, Jr., Walter C. (1985): *Portugal's Political Development. A Comparative Approach*, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado.

Opello, Jr., Walter C. (1991): *Portugal: from Monarchy to Pluralist Democracy*, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado.

Pasquino, Gianfranco (2000): *La clase política*, Madrid, Acento Editorial.

Pasquino, Gianfranco (2003): "The study of political science: methods and goals", Cristina Montalvão Sarmento e Isabel Cluny (coords.) – *Ciência Política*, *in Cultura. Revista de História e Teoria das Ideias*, Lisboa, Centro de História da Cultura, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, vol. XVI-XVII, IIª série, 13-31.

Pereira, Armando (1991): "The System of Local Government in Portugal", *in* Richard Batley and Gerry Stoker (eds.) – *Local Government in Europe. Trends and Development*, London, Macmillan, 134-145.

Pereira, José Pacheco (1999-2005): *Álvaro Cunhal. Uma Biografia Política*, 3 vols., Lisboa, Temas e Debates.

Phillips, Anne (1996): "Why does Local Democracy Matter?", *in* Lawrence Pratchett and David Wilson (eds.) – *Local Democracy and Local Government*, Houndmills, Macmillan, 20-37.

Pinto, António Costa (1998): "Dealing with the Legacy of Authoritarianism: Political Purge in Portugal's Transition to Democracy (1974-76)", *in* Stein Ugelvik Larsen (ed.) with assistance of Bernt Hagtvet – *Modern Europe after Fascism, 1943-1980s*, New York, Columbia University Press, 1679-1717.

Pinto, António Costa (2004): "Elites, single parties and political decision making in Southern European dictatorships: the Salazar, Franco and Mussolini regimes", *Portuguese journal of social science*, Bristol, vol. 3, nº 2.

Pinto, António Costa (2005): "Authoritarian Legacies, Transitional Justice and State Crisis in Portugal's Democratization", ICS Working Papers, WP3-2005, http://www.ics.ul.pt/publicacoes/workingpapers/wp2005/wp2005_3.pdf, electronic resource.

Pratchett, Lawrence; Wilson, David (eds.) (1996): *Local Democracy and Local Government*, Houndmills, Macmillan.

Reynaert, Herwig; Steyvers, Kristof; Verlet, D. (2005): "Local Political Elites in Flanders after the Second World War", *in* Herwig Reynaert, P. Delwit, Kristof Steyvers, J.B. Pilet (eds.) – *Revolution or Renovation? Reforming Local Politics in Europe*, Brugge, Vanden Broele, 313-342.

Sá, Luís (1992): *Eleições e igualdade de oportunidades*, Lisboa, Editorial Caminho.

Sá, Luís (1994): *O lugar da Assembleia da República no sistema político*, Lisboa, Editorial Caminho.

Schmitter, Philippe C.; O'Donnel, Guillermo; Whitehead, Laurence (eds.) (1986): *Transitions from Authoritarian Rule*, 3 vols., Baltimore and London, The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Schmitter, Philippe C. (1991): *The European Community as an Emergent and Novel Form of Political Domination*, Working Paper, Madrid, Center for Advanced Study in the Social Sciences, Juan March Institute.

Sousa, Luís de (2002): *Corruption: Assessing Ethical Standards in Political Life Through Control Policies*, doctoral thesis, Florence, European University Institute.

Szucs, Stefan and Stromberg, Lars (eds.) (2006): *Local Elites, Political Capital and Democratic Development. Governing Leaders in Seven European Countries*, Urban and Regional Research International, vol. 9, Wiesbaden, Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.

Tavares, Sónia (2006): *Atlas Eleitoral. Assembleia da República 2005*, STAPE, 2006.

Teune, Henry (ed. e pref.) (1995): *Local Governance Around the World*, col. The Annals of The American Academy of Political and Social Science, Thousand Oaks, Sage.

Viegas, José Manuel Leite; Costa, António Firmino da (orgs.) (1998): *Portugal, Que Modernidade?*, Oeiras, Celta.

Viegas, José Manuel Leite; Faria, Sérgio (1999a): *As mulheres na política*, Lisboa, INCM.

Viegas, José Manuel Leite; Faria, Sérgio (1999b): "Participação Política Feminina. Percursos, Constrangimentos e Incentivos", *Sociologia – Problemas e Práticas*, nº 30, 55-87.

Viegas, José Manuel Leite; Faria, Sérgio; Pinto, António Costa (2004): *Democracia. Novos Desafios e Novos Horizontes*, Oeiras, Celta Editora.

Webb, Paul; Farrell, David; Holliday, Ian (eds.) (2002): *Political Parties in Advanced Industrial Democracies*, Oxford University Press.

Webb, Paul; White, Stephen (eds.) (2007): *Party Politics in New Democracies*, Oxford University Press.

Weber, Max (1959) : *Le savant et le politique*, trad. Julien Freund, introd. Raymond Aron, Paris, Plon.